

GUIDELINES FOR REVIEWERS

A peer review of an article should contain:

I. The reviewer's opinion about:

- the importance of the topic;
- the coverage of the topic in the existing literature;
- the originality of the paper with an estimate of its contribution to the literature;
- the appropriateness of the approach and methodology used;
- the organization and structure of the paper;
- the suitability of the title and quality of the abstract;
- the style of writing;
- what part of the scientific community and other interested audiences is the paper intended for;
- the strengths and weaknesses of the paper (Is the quality of the paper consistent? Are the individual segments structured logically? Does the paper represent a cohesive unit, considering its basic premises?);
- whether the paper warrants publication.

II. Recommendations for the improvement of the paper

- general and more detailed technical recommendations

III. Classification of the paper

- Research paper
- Professional paper

IV. Final conclusion

- Publish without revisions
- Publish with minor revisions (does not require resending the revised paper to reviewers)
- Send reviewers a new version of the paper
- Reject publication